

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/733,791	BERKRAM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tuan T. Lam	2816	

All Participants:

(1) Tuan T. Lam.

(2) Attorney William D. Davis (Reg.no. 38,428).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 4 January 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

6, 7, 14, 15, 16


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 6, 7, 14-16 in order to comply with the 35USC 112, second paragraph and to place the application in a condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 TUAN T. LAM
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)